

PHYSICS : PARADIGM OF CURRENT (OR TRAFFIC)

DISCRETE TIME :

$$J_N^e = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \beta(x_i, x_{i+e})$$

CONTINUOUS TIME :

⊖ Ch MRRW Temp Contine

$$J_T^e = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\substack{T_0 \leq t \leq T \\ dx_2 \neq 0}} \beta(x_2^-, x_2^+) + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T g(x_2) dx_2$$

⊖ DIFFUSION PROCESS

$$J_T^e = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (\beta(x_2) dx_2 + g(x_2) dx_2)$$

EX: - ENTROPY CREATION : $\beta(x_2, y) = k_B \frac{W(x_2, y)}{W(y, x_2)}$

- CURRENT OF PARTICLES

⇒ CAN WE GO BEYOND ?

AT DISCRETE TIME : YES : m-word empirical density.

$$O_N^e = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N O(x_i)$$

$$O_T^e = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T O(x_s) ds$$

LEVEL 1

$$\mu_N^e = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta x_i$$

↓ THIN
μ_{INV}

LEVEL 2

EMPIRICAL DENSITY

$$\mu_T^e = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T d\omega \delta x_s$$

DONSKER-VARADHAN
 NOT EXPLICIT, EXCEPT FOR:
 ⊕ ITO CASE (SANOVA)
 ⊕ REVERSIBLE CONTINUOUS-TIME
 ⊕ SPECIAL CASE

DONSKER-VARADHAN PART OF THE LEVEL 2



LEVEL 3

EMPIRICAL PROCESS

$$\mu_{N,T}^e = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta \theta^i(x) \rightarrow P_{\mu_{INV}} \text{ THIN}$$

MEASURE STATIONNARY ALI MUI NON MARKOV

EXPLICIT

NOT VERY INTUITIVE

Sanov theorem for independent bits : Combinatorial approach

- ▶ 2 states 0 and 1, $P(0) = p_0$ and $P(1) = p_1 = 1 - p_0$
- ▶ Sequence of n bits : $[x] = 011100100\dots$
- ▶ Empirical density ("type" in statistics) : $\rho_n^e(0) = \frac{\#0 \text{ in } [x]}{n}$ and $\rho_n^e(1) = \frac{\#1 \text{ in } [x]}{n}$
- ▶ Typical behavior : $\rho_n^e(0) \rightarrow p_0$ and $\rho_n^e(1) \rightarrow p_1$.
- ▶ Untypical behavior $P(\rho_n^e(0) = \mu_0, \rho_n^e(1) = \mu_1) = \frac{n!}{(n\mu_0)!(n\mu_1)!} p_0^{n\mu_0} p_1^{n\mu_1}$

with $\mu_0 + \mu_1 = 1$.

- ▶ Stirling :

$$\ln(P(\rho_n^e(0) = \mu_0, \rho_n^e(1) = \mu_1)) = n\mu_0 \ln p_0 - n\mu_0 + n\mu_1 \ln p_1 - n\mu_1 + n \ln n - n - n\mu_0 \ln n\mu_0 + n\mu_0 - n\mu_1 \ln n\mu_1 + n\mu_1 = -n \left(\mu_0 \ln \frac{\mu_0}{p_0} + \mu_1 \ln \frac{\mu_1}{p_1} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow I(\mu) = \mu_0 \ln \frac{\mu_0}{p_0} + \mu_1 \ln \frac{\mu_1}{p_1} \equiv S(\mu/p)$$

Methods : Not rigorous mathematics...

La physique théorique est devenue un vaste hôpital psychiatrique où ce sont les fous qui ont pris le pouvoir. La théorie des cordes ? Une physique sans expérience et une mathématique sans rigueur.

Jean Marie Souriau (1922-2012(March)) :



Positive description :

Physics is to mathematics as ♥ is to masturbation. Richard Feymann

(Totally ?) No rigorous prove of Donsker-Varadhan at the Physical way

► **Functional Laplace transformation**

$$\langle \delta(\rho_{1,T}^e - \rho) \rangle = \langle \int dV \exp(-TV, \rho_{1,T}^e - \rho) \rangle = \int dV \exp(T \int dx V(x) \rho(x)) \langle \exp(-\int_0^T dt V(X_t)) \rangle$$

► **Feymann-Kac formulae :**

$$\langle \exp(-\int_0^T dt V(X_t)) \rangle = \int \rho_0(dx) dy \exp(T(L - V))(x, y) = \int \rho_0(dx) dy \exp(-T(-L + V))(x, y) \approx \exp(-T\lambda_+[V]) \quad \text{where we define } \lambda_+[V] = \inf[\text{Spectre}(-L + V)]$$

► **Saddle point :**

$$\langle \delta(\rho_{1,T}^e - \rho) \rangle \asymp \exp(T \inf_{[V] \in \mathbb{R}} (\int dx V(x) \rho(x) - \lambda_+[V])) \quad \text{then } I[\rho] = -\inf_{[V] \in \mathbb{R}} (\int dx \rho(x) (V(x) - \lambda_+[V])).$$

► $\rho_+[V]$ the eigenvalue associated $\lambda_+[V]$, by **Perron Frobenius theorem**

$$\rho_+[V](x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \text{ and } \lambda_+[V] \geq 0. \Rightarrow$$

$$(-L + V)\rho_+[V] = \lambda_+[V]\rho_+[V] \text{ then } V - \lambda_+[V] = (\rho_+[V])^{-1} L \rho_+[V]$$

► Then we obtain the rate function

$$I[\rho] = -\inf_{[u] \in \mathbb{R}^+} (\int dx \rho(x) (u^{-1} Lu)(x)) = -\inf_{[f] \in \mathbb{R}} (\int dx \rho(x) (\exp(-f) L \exp f)(x))$$

LEVEL 2.5

LEVEL OF THE CURRENTS

$$\mu_N^{e,2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta x_i \cdot x_{i+2}$$

$\mu_{INV} \otimes M$

$$I[\mu] = \sum_{x_1, x_2} \mu(x_1, x_2) P \left(\frac{\mu(x_1)}{\sum \mu(x_1, x_2)} M(x_1) \right)$$

$$CTMC: P_T^e(x) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \delta x_2(x) dx$$

$$P_T^{e,2}(x) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T} \delta x_{t_1}(x) \delta x_{t_2}(x)$$

METHOD OF PROVE

- ① CONTRACTION LEVEL 3 (WHERE?) → HARD (NOT CONVEX)
- ② LEVEL 2 FOR $\gamma_n = (X_n, X_{n+2})$ (DZ) → NO
- ③ GARNER-ELLIS + FEYMAN-MC (DEN HOLLANDER) → YES (WHERE?)
- ④ TILTING
 - IID (DEN HOLLANDER) → NO
 - PROCEDURE FOR WHICH μ IS TYPICAL → YES (MES)

LEVEL 2.5.5...

m- words

- ① YES
- ② YES
- ③ NO
- ④ ↑ YES
- ④ **NO** !!!!

- ① NO
- ② NO ??
- ③ NO
- ④ NO
- ④ NO

LEVEL 2.5555.....

NEW METHOD:

⑤ $m-1$ STEP MARKOV CHAIN

for the prove (lifting)

MARKOV CHAIN

$m-1$ STEP MARKOV CHAIN

at the end

\Rightarrow YES



$$\sigma_F^{e,m-1} \equiv \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=0}^{N_T-m+2} \delta_{X_i, \dots, X_{i+m-2}} (T_{i+m-1} - T_{i+m-2})$$

$$\rho_T^{e,m} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=0}^{N_T-m+2} \delta_{X_i, \dots, X_{i+m-2}}$$

$$I[\sigma, \rho] \equiv \sum_{x_0, \dots, x_m} \left[\begin{aligned} &\sigma(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}) W(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &- \rho(x_0, \dots, x_m) \\ &+ \rho(x_0, \dots, x_m) \ln \left(\frac{\rho(x_0, \dots, x_m)}{\sigma(x_0, \dots, x_m) W(x_{m-1}, x_m)} \right) \end{aligned} \right]$$

Level 2.99999.... : Context

- ▶ X_t Continuous time Markov chain with transition rate $W(x, y)$ and escape rate $\lambda(x) = \sum_y W(x, y)$ on a state space V .
- ▶ ρ_{inv} its invariant density.
- ▶ \mathbb{P}_x the law of the process with initial condition x , which is a distribution in the Skorohod space $D(\mathbb{R}_+, V)$
- ▶ X_n Included Markov chain.
Markov matrix : $M(x, y) = \frac{W(x, y)}{\lambda(x)}$.
Invariant density π_{inv} and it is easy to see that

$$\pi_{inv}(x) = \frac{\rho_{inv}(x)\lambda(x)}{\langle \lambda \rangle_{\rho_{inv}}}$$

- ▶ We note the sequence of time of jump of the process $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n, \dots$

Level 2.99999.... : Empirical density

$$\rho_t^{e,m} \equiv \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{N_t-m+1} \delta_{X_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_{i+m-1}}$$

and

$$\sigma_t^{e,m} \equiv \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{N_t-m+1} \delta_{X_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_{i+m-1}} (T_{m+i} - T_{m+i-1})$$

By exemple,

$$\rho_t^{e,2} \equiv \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{N_t-1} \delta_{X_i, X_{i+1}}$$

is the "empirical jump", and

$$\sigma_t^{e,1} \equiv \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{N_t} (T_{1+i} - T_i) \delta_{X_j}$$

is the empirical density.

Main result

The family of probability measure $\left\{ \mathbb{P}_x \circ \left(\sigma_t^{e, m-1}, \rho_t^{e, m} \right)^{-1} \right\}_{t>0}$ satisfy as $t \rightarrow \infty$ a large deviation principle, uniformly with respect to x , with rate function :

$$I[\sigma, \rho] = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_m} \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) W(x_{m-1}, x_m) - \rho(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m) + \rho(x_1, \dots, x_m) \ln \left[\frac{\rho(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) W(x_{m-1}, x_m)} \right]$$

if

$$\sum_x \rho(x, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_x \rho(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_m, x)$$

Typical behavior of the empirical density

- ▶ $\rho_t^{e,m}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \frac{N_t - m}{t} \frac{1}{N_t - m} \sum_{i=0}^{N_t - m + 1} \delta_{x_i, \dots, x_{i+m-1}}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$
- ▶ $\frac{N_t}{t} = \sum_{x,y} \rho_t^{e,2}(x,y)$ and then $\frac{N_t}{t} \rightarrow \sum_{x,y} \rho_{inv}(x) W(x,y) = \langle \lambda \rangle_{\rho_{inv}}$
- ▶ Typical behavior of $\rho_t^{e,m}$:
 $\rho_t^{e,m}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \rightarrow \langle \lambda \rangle_{\rho_{inv}} \pi_{inv}(x_1) M(x_1, x_2) M(x_2, x_3) \dots M(x_{m-1}, x_m)$
which can be also written with the transition rate

$$\rho_t^{e,m}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \rightarrow \rho_{inv}(x_1) W(x_1, x_2) \frac{W(x_2, x_3)}{\lambda(x_2)} \dots \frac{W(x_{m-1}, x_m)}{\lambda(x_{m-1})}.$$

- ▶ Typical behavior of $\sigma_t^{e,m}$ is

$$\sigma_t^{e,m}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \rightarrow \rho_{inv}(x_1) \frac{W(x_1, x_2)}{\lambda(x_2)} \frac{W(x_2, x_3)}{\lambda(x_3)} \dots \frac{W(x_{m-1}, x_m)}{\lambda(x_m)}$$

NOT AT ALL GENERIC FORM : TILTING IMPOSSIBLE TO DO IN THE CLASS OF MARKOV PROCESS.

$m - 1$ -step pure jump process

Such a process is given by 3 object :

- ▶ A $m - 1$ point initial density $\pi_0(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-2})$
- ▶ A transition "matrix" of the included $m - 1$ step markov chain $M(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-2} \rightarrow y)$
- ▶ A escape rate function of the state x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-2} : $\lambda(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-2})$

"Transition rate" of the process are

$$W(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-2}, y) = \lambda(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-2})M(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-2} \rightarrow y)$$

Trajectory with n jump, which pass toward the point x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n and which jump at sequence of time t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n , the law of the process on the interval $[0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} dP_T &= \pi_0(x_0, x_1, x_{m-2})M(x_0, \dots, x_{m-2} \rightarrow x_{m-1})M(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \rightarrow x_m) \\ &\dots M(x_{n-m+1}, x_{n-m+2}, \dots, x_{n-1} \rightarrow x_n) \\ &\lambda(x_0, x_1, x_{m-2}) \exp(-\lambda(x_0, x_1, x_{m-2})t_1) dt_1 \\ &\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}) \exp(-\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1})(t_2 - t_1)) dt_2 \dots \\ &\exp(-\lambda(x_{n-m+1}, x_{n-m+2}, \dots, x_{n-1})(T - t_n)) dt_n. \end{aligned}$$

TILTING POSSIBLE TO DO IN THIS CLASS

Open issue : GC in disordered system

*Large deviations for a random walk in a random environment, Ann. Prob. 22 (1994),
A.Greven, F.Den Hollander.*

- ▶ Random environment $w_k, k \in Z$ iid $\in [0, 1]$ random variable with distribution α

- ▶ Discrete random walk X_n in Z with

$$P_w(X_{n+1} = x \pm 1 | X_n = x) = \begin{cases} w_n \\ 1 - w_n \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Large deviation for the speed of the w -conditioned random walk :

$$P_w\left(\frac{X_n}{n} = v\right) \asymp \exp(-nI(v))$$

- ▶ $I(v)$ is deterministic
- ▶ $I(v)$ verify the "GC" symmetry

$$I(v) = I(-v) + v \left\langle \ln \left(\frac{1-w}{w} \right) \right\rangle$$